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## The Long Path [1]

## Beyond Complex Architectures and The Attention Revolution



## A Small History of a <br> Revolution
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## Digital Images as pixels in a digitized matrix [2]



## Further [2]

Pixel values typically represent

- Gray levels, colors, heights, opacities etc


## Further [2]

## Pixel values typically represent

- Gray levels, colors, heights, opacities etc


## Something Notable

- Remember digitization implies that a digital image is an approximation of a real scene


## Images

## Common image formats include

- On sample/pixel per point (B\&W or Grayscale)
- Three samples/pixel per point (Red, Green, and Blue)
- Four samples/pixel per point (Red, Green, Blue, and "Alpha")

Therefore, we have the following process


## Example

## Edge Detection



Mid Level Process

| Input | Processes | Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Image | Object <br> Recognition <br> Segmentation | Attributes |

## Example

## Object Recognition



## Therefore

## It would be nice to automatize all these processes

- We would solve a lot of headaches when setting up such process


## Therefore

## It would be nice to automatize all these processes

- We would solve a lot of headaches when setting up such process


## Why not to use the data sets

- By using a Neural Networks that replicates the process.
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## Multilayer Neural Network Classification

## We have the following classification [3]

| Structure | Types of <br> Decision Regions | Exclusive-OR <br> Problem | Classes with <br> Meshed regions Region Shape\$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Layer | Half Plane <br> Bounded By <br> Hyper plane | A |  |
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## Drawbacks of previous neural networks

## The number of trainable parameters becomes extremely large

Large $N$


## Drawbacks of previous neural networks
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## Drawbacks of previous neural networks

In addition，little or no invariance to shifting，scaling，and other forms of distortion
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## Drawbacks of previous neural networks

## The topology of the input data is completely ignored



## For Example

## We have

- Black and white patterns: $2^{32 \times 32}=2^{1024}$
- Gray scale patterns: $256^{32 \times 32}=256^{1024}$

$32 * 32$ input image


## For Example

If we have an element that the network has never seen


## Possible Solution

We can minimize this drawbacks by getting

- Fully connected network of sufficient size can produce outputs that are invariant with respect to such variations.


## Possible Solution

## We can minimize this drawbacks by getting

- Fully connected network of sufficient size can produce outputs that are invariant with respect to such variations.


## Problem!!!

- Training time
- Network size
- Free parameters
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## Hubel/Wiesel Architecture

## Something Notable [4]

- D. Hubel and T. Wiesel (1959, 1962, Nobel Prize 1981)


## Hubel/Wiesel Architecture

## Something Notable [4]

- D. Hubel and T. Wiesel (1959, 1962, Nobel Prize 1981)


## They commented

- The visual cortex consists of a hierarchy of simple, complex, and hyper-complex cells


## Something Like

## We have

## Feature Hierarchy



## History

## Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) were invented by [5]

In 1989, Yann LeCun and Yoshua Bengio introduced the concept of Convolutional Neural networks.


## About CNN's

## Something Notable
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## Something Notable

CNN's Were neurobiologically motivated by the findings of locally sensitive and orientation-selective nerve cells in the visual cortex.

## In addition

They designed a network structure that implicitly extracts relevant features.

## Properties

Convolutional Neural Networks are a special kind of multi-layer neural networks.
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## About CNN's

## In addition

- CNN is a feed-forward network that can extract topological properties from an image.
- Like almost every other neural networks they are trained with a version of the back-propagation algorithm.
- Convolutional Neural Networks are designed to recognize visual patterns directly from pixel images with minimal preprocessing.
- They can recognize patterns with extreme variability.
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## Local Connectivity
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## Local Connectivity

## We have the following idea [6]

- Instead of using a full connectivity...


Input Image

## We would have something like this

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Local Connectivity

We decide only to connect the neurons in a local way

- Each hidden unit is connected only to a subregion (patch) of the input image.
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## Example

For gray scale, we get something like this


## Example

For gray scale, we get something like this


Then, our formula changes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=f\left(\sum_{i \in L_{p}} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example

## In the case of the 3 channels



## Example

## In the case of the 3 channels



Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=f\left(\sum_{i \in L_{p}, c} w_{i} x_{i}^{c}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Solving the following problems...

## First

- Fully connected hidden layer would have an unmanageable number of parameters


## Solving the following problems...

## First

- Fully connected hidden layer would have an unmanageable number of parameters


## Second

- Computing the linear activation of the hidden units would have been quite expensive

How this looks in the image...

We have


Receptive Field
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## Parameter Sharing

## Second Idea

Share matrix of parameters across certain units.

## Parameter Sharing

## Second Idea

Share matrix of parameters across certain units.
These units are organized into

- The same feature "map"
- Where the units share same parameters (For example, the same mask)


## Example

## We have something like this

Feature Map 1
Feature Map 2
Feature Map 3


## Example

## We have something like this

Feature Map 1
Feature Map 2
Feature Map 3


## Now, in our notation

We have a collection of matrices representing this connectivity

- $W_{i j}$ is the connection matrix the $i$ th input channel with the $j$ th feature map.


## Now, in our notation

## We have a collection of matrices representing this connectivity

- $W_{i j}$ is the connection matrix the $i$ th input channel with the $j$ th feature map.
- In each cell of these matrices is the weight to be multiplied with the local input to the local neuron.


## An now why the name of convolution

Yes!!! The definition is coming now.
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## Digital Images

## In computer vision [2, 7]

We usually operate on digital (discrete) images:

- Sample the 2D space on a regular grid.
- Quantize each sample (round to nearest integer).

The image can now be represented as a matrix of integer values, $I:[a, b] \times[c, d] \rightarrow[0 . .255]$

$$
i \downarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
79 & 5 & 6 & 90 & 12 & 34 & 2 & 1 \\
8 & 90 & 12 & 34 & 26 & 78 & 34 & 5 \\
8 & 1 & 3 & 90 & 12 & 34 & 11 & 61 \\
77 & 90 & 12 & 34 & 200 & 2 & 9 & 45 \\
1 & 3 & 90 & 12 & 20 & 1 & 6 & 23
\end{array}\right]
$$

Many times we want to eliminate noise in a image

For example a moving average


## This is defined as

This last moving average can be seen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I * k)(i)=\sum_{j=-n}^{n} I(i-j) \times K(j)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=m}^{-m} I(i-j) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $I(j)$ representing the value of the pixel at position $j$,

$$
K(j)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{N} & \text { if } j \in\{-m,-m+1, \ldots, 1,0,1, \ldots, m-1, m\} \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

with $0<m<n$.

## This can be generalized into the 2D images

## Left $I$ and Right $I * K$

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## This can be generalized into the 2D images

## Left $I$ and Right $I * K$

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## This can be generalized into the 2D images

## Left $I$ and Right $I * K$

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 10 |  |
|  | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 40 | 20 |  |
|  | 0 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 60 | 30 |  |
|  | 0 | 30 | 50 | 80 | 80 | 90 | 60 | 30 |  |
|  | 0 | 30 | 50 | 80 | 80 | 90 | 60 | 30 |  |
|  | 0 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 40 | 20 |  |
| 10 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 10 |  |  |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Moving average in 2D

## Basically in 2D

- We can define different types of filter using the idea of weighted average

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I * K)(i, j)=\sum_{s=m}^{-m} \sum_{l=-m}^{m} I(i-s, j-l) \times K(s, l) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Moving average in 2D

## Basically in 2D

- We can define different types of filter using the idea of weighted average

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I * K)(i, j)=\sum_{s=m}^{-m} \sum_{l=-m}^{m} I(i-s, j-l) \times K(s, l) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## For example, the Box Filter

$$
K=\frac{1}{9}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{6}\\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { "The Box Filter" }
$$

## Another Example

## The Gaussian Filter

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\
2 & 5 & 9 & 5 & 2 \\
1 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Another Example

## The Gaussian Filter

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\
2 & 5 & 9 & 5 & 2 \\
1 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus, we can define the concept of convolution

- Yes, using the previous ideas


## Convolution

## Definition

- Let $I:[a, b] \times[c, d] \rightarrow[0 . .255]$ be the image and $K:[e, f] \times[h, i] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the kernel. The output of Convolving $I$ with $K$, denoted $I * K$ is

$$
(I * K)[x, y]=\sum_{s=-n}^{n} \sum_{l=-n}^{n} I(x-s, y-l) \times K(s, l)
$$

## Now, why not to expand this idea

Imagine that a three channel image is splitted into a three feature map
$K\left(W, H, C_{\text {in }}, C_{\text {out }}\right)$
$W=$ Width
$H=$ Height
$C_{i n}=$ channels input
$C_{\text {out }}=$ channel output


## Mathematically, we have the following

## Map $i$

$$
(I * k)[x, y, o]=\sum_{c=1}^{3} \sum_{l=-n}^{n} \sum_{s=-n}^{n} I(x-l, y-s, c) \times k(l, s, c, o)
$$

## Mathematically, we have the following

## Map $i$

$$
(I * k)[x, y, o]=\sum_{c=1}^{3} \sum_{l=-n}^{n} \sum_{s=-n}^{n} I(x-l, y-s, c) \times k(l, s, c, o)
$$

## Therefore

- The convolution works as a
- Filter
- Encoder
- Decoder
- etc


## For Example，Encoder

## We have the following situation



## Notation

We have the following

- $Y_{j}^{(l)}$ is a matrix representing the $l$ layer and $j^{\text {th }}$ feature map.
- $K_{i j}^{(l)}$ is the kernel filter with $i^{\text {th }}$ kernel for layer $j^{t h}$.
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- $Y_{j}^{(l)}$ is a matrix representing the $l$ layer and $j^{\text {th }}$ feature map.
- $K_{i j}^{(l)}$ is the kernel filter with $i^{\text {th }}$ kernel for layer $j^{t h}$.


## Therefore

- We can see the Convolutional as a fusion of information from different feature maps.

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} Y_{j}^{(l-1)} * K_{i j}^{(l)}
$$

## Thus, we have

Given a specific layer $l$, we have that $i^{\text {th }}$ feature map in such layer equal to

$$
Y_{i}^{(l)}(x, y)=B_{i}^{(l)}(x, y)+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} \sum_{s=-k s}^{k s} \sum_{l=-k s}^{k s} Y_{j}^{(l-1)}(x-s, y-l) K_{i j}^{(l)}(x, y)
$$
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## Thus, we have

Given a specific layer $l$, we have that $i^{\text {th }}$ feature map in such layer equal to

$$
Y_{i}^{(l)}(x, y)=B_{i}^{(l)}(x, y)+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} \sum_{s=-k s}^{k s} \sum_{l=-k s}^{k s} Y_{j}^{(l-1)}(x-s, y-l) K_{i j}^{(l)}(x, y)
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$$
Y_{i}^{(l)}(x, y)=B_{i}^{(l)}(x, y)+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} \sum_{s=-k s}^{k s} \sum_{l=-k s}^{k s} Y_{j}^{(l-1)}(x-s, y-l) K_{i j}^{(l)}(x, y)
$$

## Where

- $Y_{i}^{(l)}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ feature map in layer $l$.
- $B_{i}^{(l)}$ is the bias matrix for output $j$.
- $K_{i j}^{(l)}$ is the filter of size $\left[2 h_{1}^{(l)}+1\right] \times\left[2 h_{2}^{(l)}+1\right]$.


## Thus

- The input of layer $l$ comprises $m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps from the previous layer, each of size $m_{2}^{(l-1)} \times m_{3}^{(l-1)}$


## Therefore

Thew output of layer $l$

- It consists $m_{1}^{(l)}$ feature maps of size $m_{2}^{(l)} \times m_{3}^{(l)}$


## Therefore

## Thew output of layer $l$

- It consists $m_{1}^{(l)}$ feature maps of size $m_{2}^{(l)} \times m_{3}^{(l)}$


## Something Notable

- $m_{2}^{(l)}$ and $m_{3}^{(l)}$ are influenced by border effects.
- Therefore, the output feature maps when the Convolutional sum is defined properly have size

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{2}^{(l)}=m_{2}^{(l-1)}-2 h_{1}^{(l)} \\
& m_{3}^{(l)}=m_{3}^{(l-1)}-2 h_{2}^{(l)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why? The Border

## Example

## Convolutional Maps


$h_{2}$

## Special Case

When $l=1$
The input is a single image $I$ consisting of one or more channels.

## Thus

## We have

Each feature map $Y_{i}^{(l)}$ in layer $l$ consists of $m_{1}^{(l)} \cdot m_{2}^{(l)}$ units arranged in a two dimensional array.

## Thus

## We have

Each feature map $Y_{i}^{(l)}$ in layer $l$ consists of $m_{1}^{(l)} \cdot m_{2}^{(l)}$ units arranged in a two dimensional array.

## Thus, the unit at position $(x, y)$ computes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(Y_{i}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y} & =\left(B_{i}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}}\left(K_{i j}^{(l)} * Y_{j}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x, y} \\
& =\left(B_{i}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} \sum_{k=-h_{1}^{(l)}}^{h_{1}^{(l)}} \sum_{t=-h_{2}^{(l)}}^{h_{2}^{(l)}}\left(K_{i j}^{(l)}\right)_{k, t}\left(Y_{j}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x-k, x-t}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Here, an interesting case

## Only a Historical Note

- The foundations for deconvolution came from Norbert Wiener of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in his book "Extrapolation, Interpolation, and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series" (1949)


## Here, an interesting case

## Only a Historical Note

- The foundations for deconvolution came from Norbert Wiener of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in his book "Extrapolation, Interpolation, and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series" (1949)

Basically, it tries to solve the following equation with $Y^{(l)}$ unknown layer that we want to recover

$$
Y_{i}^{(l)} * K_{i j}^{(l)}=Y_{j}^{(l-1)}
$$

## $\ln [8]$

They proposed a sparcity idea to start the implementation as

$$
C\left(Y^{(l-1)}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}} Y_{j}^{(l)} * K_{i j}^{(l)}-Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}}\left|Y_{j}^{(l)}\right|^{p}
$$

- Typically, $p=1$, although other values are possible.


## In [8]

They proposed a sparcity idea to start the implementation as

$$
C\left(Y^{(l-1)}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}} Y_{j}^{(l)} * K_{i j}^{(l)}-Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}}\left|Y_{j}^{(l)}\right|^{p}
$$

- Typically, $p=1$, although other values are possible.

They look for the arguments to minimize a cost of function over a set of images $y=\left\{y^{1}, \ldots, y^{I}\right\}$

$$
\arg \min _{Y_{j}^{(l)} * K_{i j}^{(l)}} C(y)
$$

## Here

## Then, we can generalize such cost function for that total set of images (Minbatch)

$$
C_{l}(y)=\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{I} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}} g_{i j}^{(l)}\left(Y_{j}^{(l, k)} * K_{i j}^{(l)}\right)-Y_{i}^{(l-1, k)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}}\left|Y_{j}^{(l, k)}\right|^{p}
$$

## Here

Then, we can generalize such cost function for that total set of images (Minbatch)

$$
C_{l}(y)=\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{I} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}} g_{i j}^{(l)}\left(Y_{j}^{(l, k)} * K_{i j}^{(l)}\right)-Y_{i}^{(l-1, k)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}}\left|Y_{j}^{(l, k)}\right|^{p}
$$

## Here, we have

- $Y_{i}^{(l-1, k)}$ are the feature maps from the previous layer
- $g_{i j}^{(l)}$ is a fixed binary matrix that determines the connectivity between feature maps at different layers
- If $Y_{j}^{(l, k)}$ is connected to certain $Y_{i}^{(l-1, k)}$ elments

This can be sen as

We have the following layer


## They noticed some drawbacks

## Using the following optimizations

- Direct Gradient Descent
- Iterative Reweighted Least Squares
- Stochastic Gradient Descent


## They noticed some drawbacks

## Using the following optimizations

- Direct Gradient Descent
- Iterative Reweighted Least Squares
- Stochastic Gradient Descent


## All of they presented problems!!!

- They solved it using a new cost function


## We have that

## An interesting use of an auxiliar variable/layer $X_{i}^{(l, k)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{l}(y)= & \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{I} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}} g_{i j}^{(l)}\left(Y_{j}^{(l, k)} * K_{i j}^{(l)}\right)-Y_{i}^{(l-1, k)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\ldots \\
& \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}}\left\|Y_{j}^{(l, k)}-X_{i}^{(l, k)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}}\left|Y_{j}^{(l, k)}\right|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

## We have that

An interesting use of an auxiliar variable/layer $X_{i}^{(l, k)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{l}(y)= & \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{I} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}} g_{i j}^{(l)}\left(Y_{j}^{(l, k)} * K_{i j}^{(l)}\right)-Y_{i}^{(l-1, k)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\ldots \\
& \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}}\left\|Y_{j}^{(l, k)}-X_{i}^{(l, k)}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}}\left|Y_{j}^{(l, k)}\right|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be solved using

- Alternating minimization...


## This is based on

Fixing the values of $Y_{j}^{(l, k)}$ and $X_{i}^{(l, k)}$

- They call these two stages the $Y$ and $X$ sub-problems...


## This is based on

Fixing the values of $Y_{j}^{(l, k)}$ and $X_{i}^{(l, k)}$

- They call these two stages the $Y$ and $X$ sub-problems...

Therefore, they noticed

- These terms introduce the sparsity constraint and gives numerical stability [9, 10]


## $Y$ sub-problem

Taking the derivative of $Y_{j}^{(l, k)}$

$$
\frac{\partial C_{l}(y)}{\partial Y_{j}^{(l, k)}}=\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} F_{i j}^{(l) T}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}} F_{t j}^{(l)} Y_{j}^{(l, k)}-Y_{j}^{(l-1, k)}\right]+\beta\left[Y_{j}^{(l, k)}-X_{j}^{(l, k)}\right]=0
$$

## $Y$ sub-problem

Taking the derivative of $Y_{j}^{(l, k)}$
$\frac{\partial C_{l}(y)}{\partial Y_{j}^{(l, k)}}=\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} F_{i j}^{(l) T}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{m_{1}^{(l)}} F_{t j}^{(l)} Y_{j}^{(l, k)}-Y_{j}^{(l-1, k)}\right]+\beta\left[Y_{j}^{(l, k)}-X_{j}^{(l, k)}\right]=0$

## Where

$$
F_{i j}^{(l)}= \begin{cases}\mathrm{It} \text { is a sparse convolution matrix } & \text { if } g_{i j}^{(l)}=1 \\ 0 & \text { if } g_{i j}^{(l)}=0\end{cases}
$$

## Therefore

## $F_{i j}^{(l)}$ as a sparse convolution matrix

- Equivalent to convolve with $K_{i j}^{(l)}$


## Therefore

## $F_{i j}^{(l)}$ as a sparse convolution matrix

- Equivalent to convolve with $K_{i j}^{(l)}$


## Actually if you fix $i$, you finish with a linear system $A x=0$

- Please take a look at the paper... it is interesting
- Actually this seems to be the implementation at the Tensorflow framework
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## As in a Multilayer Perceptron

## We use a non-linearity

- However, there is a drawback when using Back-Propagation under a sigmoid function

$$
s(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}
$$
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Because if we imagine a Convolutional Network as a series of layer functions $f_{i}$

$$
y(A)=f_{t} \circ f_{t-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{2} \circ f_{1}(A)
$$

With $f_{t}$ is the last layer.

## As in a Multilayer Perceptron

We use a non-linearity

- However, there is a drawback when using Back-Propagation under a sigmoid function

$$
s(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}
$$

Because if we imagine a Convolutional Network as a series of layer functions $f_{i}$

$$
y(A)=f_{t} \circ f_{t-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{2} \circ f_{1}(A)
$$

With $f_{t}$ is the last layer.
Therefore, we finish with a sequence of derivatives

$$
\frac{\partial y(A)}{\partial w_{1 i}}=\frac{\partial f_{t}\left(f_{t-1}\right)}{\partial f_{t-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{t-1}\left(f_{t-2}\right)}{\partial f_{t-2}} \cdots \cdots \frac{\partial f_{2}\left(f_{1}\right)}{\partial f_{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{1}(A)}{\partial w_{1 i}}
$$

## Therefore

## Given the commutativity of the product

- You could put together the derivative of the sigmoid's

$$
f(x)=\frac{d s(x)}{d x}=\frac{e^{-x}}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{2}}
$$

## Therefore

## Given the commutativity of the product

- You could put together the derivative of the sigmoid's

$$
f(x)=\frac{d s(x)}{d x}=\frac{e^{-x}}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{2}}
$$

Therefore, deriving again

$$
\frac{d f(x)}{d x}=-\frac{e^{-x}}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2\left(e^{-x}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{3}}
$$

## Therefore

## Given the commutativity of the product

- You could put together the derivative of the sigmoid's

$$
f(x)=\frac{d s(x)}{d x}=\frac{e^{-x}}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{2}}
$$

Therefore, deriving again

$$
\frac{d f(x)}{d x}=-\frac{e^{-x}}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2\left(e^{-x}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{3}}
$$

After making $\frac{d f(x)}{d x}=0$

- We have the maximum is at $x=0$


## Therefore

The maximum for the derivative of the sigmoid

- $f(0)=0.25$
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The maximum for the derivative of the sigmoid

- $f(0)=0.25$

Therefore, Given a Deep Convolutional Network

- We could finish with

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{d s(x)}{d x}\right)^{k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(0.25)^{k} \rightarrow 0
$$

## Therefore

The maximum for the derivative of the sigmoid
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## Therefore, Given a Deep Convolutional Network

- We could finish with

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{d s(x)}{d x}\right)^{k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(0.25)^{k} \rightarrow 0
$$

## A vanishing derivative

- Making quite difficult to do train a deeper network using this activation function


## Thus

The need to introduce a new function

$$
f(x)=x^{+}=\max (0, x)
$$

## Thus

The need to introduce a new function

$$
f(x)=x^{+}=\max (0, x)
$$

## It is called ReLu or Rectifier

With a smooth approximation (Softplus function)

$$
f(x)=\frac{\ln \left(1+e^{k x}\right)}{k}
$$

## Therefore, we have

## When $k=1$



## Increase $k$

## When $k=10^{4}$



## Non-Linearity Layer

## If layer I is a non-linearity layer

Its input is given by $m_{1}^{(l)}$ feature maps.
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## Non-Linearity Layer

## If layer I is a non-linearity layer

Its input is given by $m_{1}^{(l)}$ feature maps.

## What about the output

Its output comprises again $m_{1}^{(l)}=m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps

## Each of them of size

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{2}^{(l-1)} \times m_{3}^{(l-1)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $m_{2}^{(l)}=m_{2}^{(l-1)}$ and $m_{3}^{(l)}=m_{3}^{(l-1)}$.

## Thus

With the final output

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{(l)}=f\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
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With the final output

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{(l)}=f\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Where

$f$ is the activation function used in layer $l$ and operates point wise.

## Thus

## With the final output

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{(l)}=f\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Where

$f$ is the activation function used in layer $l$ and operates point wise.
You can also add a gain to compensate

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{(l)}=g_{i} f\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## - Rectification Layer

- Local Contrast Normalization Layer
- Sub-sampling and Pooling
- StridesNormalization Layer AKA Batch Normalization
- Finally, The Fully Connected Layer

4 An Example of CNN

- The Proposed Architecture
- 

BackpropagationDeriving $w_{r, s, k}$

- Deriving the Kernel Filters


## Rectification Layer, $R_{a b s}$

## Now a rectification layer

Then its input comprises $m_{1}^{(l)}$ feature maps of size $m_{2}^{(l-1)} \times m_{3}^{(l-1)}$.
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## Now a rectification layer

Then its input comprises $m_{1}^{(l)}$ feature maps of size $m_{2}^{(l-1)} \times m_{3}^{(l-1)}$.
Then, the absolute value for each component of the feature maps is computed
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\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{(l)}=\left|Y_{i}^{(l)}\right| \tag{10}
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## Rectification Layer, $R_{a b s}$

## Now a rectification layer

Then its input comprises $m_{1}^{(l)}$ feature maps of size $m_{2}^{(l-1)} \times m_{3}^{(l-1)}$.

Then, the absolute value for each component of the feature maps is computed

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{(l)}=\left|Y_{i}^{(l)}\right| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Where the absolute value

It is computed point wise such that the output consists of $m_{1}^{(l)}=m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps unchanged in size.

## Thus

## We have that

Experiments show that rectification plays a central role in achieving good performance.

## Thus

## We have that

Experiments show that rectification plays a central role in achieving good performance.

## You can find this in

K. Jarrett, K. Kavukcuogl, M. Ranzato, and Y. LeCun. What is the best multi-stage architecture for object recognition? In Computer Vision, International Conference on, pages 2146-2153, 2009.

## Thus

## We have that

Experiments show that rectification plays a central role in achieving good performance.

## You can find this in

K. Jarrett, K. Kavukcuogl, M. Ranzato, and Y. LeCun. What is the best multi-stage architecture for object recognition? In Computer Vision, International Conference on, pages 2146-2153, 2009.

## Remark

- Rectification could be included in the non-linearity layer.
- But also it can be seen as an independent layer.


## Given that we are using Backpropagation

We need a soft approximation to $f(x)=|x|$
For this, we have

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=\operatorname{sgn}(x)
$$

- When $x \neq 0$. Why?


## Given that we are using Backpropagation

We need a soft approximation to $f(x)=|x|$
For this, we have

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=\operatorname{sgn}(x)
$$
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## Given that we are using Backpropagation

We need a soft approximation to $f(x)=|x|$
For this, we have

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=\operatorname{sgn}(x)
$$

- When $x \neq 0$. Why?

We can use the following approximation

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(x)=2\left(\frac{\exp \{k x\}}{1+\exp \{k x\}}\right)-1
$$

Therefore, we have by integration and working the $C$

$$
f(x)=\frac{2}{k} \ln (1+\exp \{k x\})-x-\frac{2}{k} \ln (2)
$$

## We get the following situation

## Something Notable

$$
f(x)=\frac{2}{k} \ln (1+\exp \{k x\})-x-\frac{2}{k} \ln (2)
$$
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## Normalizing

## Contrast normalization layer

The task of a local contrast normalization layer:

- To enforce local competitiveness between adjacent units within a feature map.
- To enforce competitiveness units at the same spatial location.


## We have two types of operations

- Subtractive Normalization.
- Brightness Normalization.


## Subtractive Normalization

Given $m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps of size $m_{2}^{(l-1)} \times m_{3}^{(l-1)}$
The output of layer $l$ comprises $m_{1}^{(l)}=m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps unchanged in size.
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Given $m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps of size $m_{2}^{(l-1)} \times m_{3}^{(l-1)}$
The output of layer $l$ comprises $m_{1}^{(l)}=m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps unchanged in size.

With the operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{(l)}=Y_{i}^{(l-1)}-\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} K_{G(\sigma)} * Y_{j}^{(l-1)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Subtractive Normalization

## Given $m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps of size $m_{2}^{(l-1)} \times m_{3}^{(l-1)}$

The output of layer $l$ comprises $m_{1}^{(l)}=m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps unchanged in size.

With the operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}^{(l)}=Y_{i}^{(l-1)}-\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} K_{G(\sigma)} * Y_{j}^{(l-1)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## With

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K_{G(\sigma)}\right)_{x, y}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{2}} \exp \left\{\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Brightness Normalization

An alternative is to normalize the brightness in combination with the rectified linear units

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Y_{i}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}=\frac{\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x, y}}{\left(\kappa+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}}\left(Y_{j}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x, y}^{2}\right)^{\mu}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Brightness Normalization

An alternative is to normalize the brightness in combination with the rectified linear units

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Y_{i}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}=\frac{\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x, y}}{\left(\kappa+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}}\left(Y_{j}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x, y}^{2}\right)^{\mu}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Where

- $\kappa, \mu$ and $\lambda$ are hyperparameters which can be set using a

$$
f(x)=\frac{\ln \left(1+e^{k x}\right)}{k}
$$

validation set.
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## Sub-sampling Layer

## Motivation

The motivation of subsampling the feature maps obtained by previous layers is robustness to noise and distortions.

## Sub-sampling Layer

## Motivation

The motivation of subsampling the feature maps obtained by previous layers is robustness to noise and distortions.

## How?

- Normally, in traditional Convolutional Networks subsampling this is done by applying skipping factors!!!
- However, it is possible to combine subsampling with pooling and do it in a separate layer


## Sub-sampling

## The subsampling layer

- It seems to be acting as the well know sub-sampling pyramid
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## We know that Image Pyramids

They were designed to find:
(1) filter-based representations to decompose images into information at multiple scales,
(2) To extract features/structures of interest,
(3) To attenuate noise.

## Example of usage of this filters

- The SURF and SIFT filters


## There are also other ways of doing this
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## There are also other ways of doing this

## subsampling can be done using so called skipping factors

$$
s_{1}^{(l)} \text { and } s_{2}^{(l)}
$$

## The basic idea is to skip a fixed number of pixels

Therefore the size of the output feature map is given by

$$
m_{2}^{(l)}=\frac{m_{2}^{(l-1)}-2 h_{1}^{(l)}}{s_{1}^{(l)}+1} \text { and } m_{3}^{(l)}=\frac{m_{3}^{(l-1)}-2 h_{2}^{(l)}}{s_{2}^{(l)}+1}
$$

## What is Pooling?

Pooling

- Spatial pooling is way to compute image representation based on encoded local features.
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## Pooling Operation

It operates by placing windows at non-overlapping positions in each feature map and keeping one value per window such that the feature maps are sub-sampled.
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## In the previous example

- All feature maps are pooled and sub-sampled individually.


## Each unit

- In one of the $m_{1}^{(l)}=4$ output feature maps represents the average or the maximum within a fixed window of the corresponding feature map in layer $(l-1)$.


## Examples of pooling

## Average pooling

When using a boxcar filter, the operation is called average pooling and the layer denoted by $P_{A}$.


## Examples of pooling

## Max pooling

For max pooling, the maximum value of each window is taken. The layer is denoted by $P_{M}$.


## An interesting property

## Something notable depending in the pooling area

- "In all cases, pooling helps to make the representation become approximately invariant to small translations of the input. Invariance to translation means that if we translate the input by a small amount, the values of most of the pooled outputs do not change."
- Page 342, Ian Goodfellow, Introduction to Deep Learning, 2016 [11].
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- "In all cases, pooling helps to make the representation become approximately invariant to small translations of the input. Invariance to translation means that if we translate the input by a small amount, the values of most of the pooled outputs do not change."
- Page 342, Ian Goodfellow, Introduction to Deep Learning, 2016 [11].

The small amount

- In the case of the previous examples, 1 pixel
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## Other Poolings

There are other types of pooling

- $L_{2}$ norm of a rectangular neighborhood
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However, we have another way of doing pooling

- Striding!!!


## Springerberg et al. [12]

They started talking about sustituing maxpooling for something called a Stride on the Convolution

$$
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## This is a Heuristic ...

- Basically you jump around by a factro $r$ and $t$ for the width and height of the layer
- It was proposed to decrease memory usage...
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## Horizontal Stride
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## There are attempts to understand its effects

## At Convolution Level and using Tensors [13]

- "Take it in your stride: Do we need striding in CNNs?" by Chen Kong, Simon Lucey [14]

Please read Kolda's Paper before you get into the other

- You need a little bit of notation...


## Outline

The Long Path

- The Problem of Image Processing
- Multilayer Neural Network Classification
- Drawbacks
- Possible Solution
(2) Convolutional Networks
- History
- Local Connectivity
- Sharing Parameters
(3) Layers
- Convolutional Layer
- Convolutional Architectures
- A Little Bit of Notation
- Deconvolution Layer
- Alternating Minimization
- Non-Linearity Layer
- Fixing the Problem, ReLu function
- Back to the Non-Linearity LayerRectification Layer
- Local Contrast Normalization Layer
- Sub-sampling and Pooling
- Strides
- Normalization Layer AKA Batch Normalization
- Finally, The Fully Connected Layer
(4) An Example of CNN
- The Proposed Architecture
- 

Backpropagation

- Deriving $w_{r, s, k}$
- Deriving the Kernel Filters
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## In Neural Networks, they define this

- Internal Covariate Shift as the change in the distribution of network activation's due to the change in network parameters during training.


## They gave the following reasons

Consider a layer with the input $u$ that adds the learned bias $b$

- Then, it normalizes the result by subtracting the mean of the activation over the training data:

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}=\boldsymbol{x}-E[\boldsymbol{x}]
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Now, if the gradient ignores the dependence of $E[\boldsymbol{x}]$ on $b$

- Then $b=b+\Delta b$ where $\Delta b \propto-\frac{\partial l}{\partial \widehat{x}}$


## Finally

$$
u+(b+\Delta b)-E[u+(b+\Delta b)]=u+b-E[u+b]
$$

## Then

The following will happen

- The update to $b$ by $\Delta b$ leads to no change in the output of the layer.
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## Therefore

- We need to integrate the normalization into the process of training.
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It is possible to describe the normalization as a transformation layer

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}=\operatorname{Norm}(\boldsymbol{x}, \mathcal{X})
$$

- Which depends on all the training samples $\mathcal{X}$ which also depends on the layer parameters

For back-propagation, we will need to generate the following terms

$$
\frac{\partial N o r m(\boldsymbol{x}, \mathcal{X})}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \text { and } \frac{\partial \operatorname{Norm}(\boldsymbol{x}, \mathcal{X})}{\partial \mathcal{X}}
$$
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## Whitening

- Suppose $X$ is a random (column) vector with non-singular covariance matrix $\Sigma$ and mean 0 .


## Then

- Then the transformation $Y=W X$ with a whitening matrix $W$ satisfying the condition $W^{T} W=\Sigma^{-1}$ yields the whitened random vector $Y$ with unit diagonal covariance.


## Such Normalization

## It could be used for all layer

- But whitening the layer inputs is expensive, as it requires computing the covariance matrix

$$
\operatorname{Cov}[\boldsymbol{x}]=E_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}}\left[\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^{T}\right] \text { and } E[\boldsymbol{x}] E[\boldsymbol{x}]^{T}
$$

- To produce the whitened activations


## Therefore

A Better Options, we can normalize each input layer
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\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(k)}=\frac{\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}-\mu}{\sigma}
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## This allows to speed up convergence

- Simply normalizing each input of a layer may change what the layer can represent.


## So, we need to insert a transformation in the network

- Which can represent the identity transform


## The Transformation

The Linear transformation
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\boldsymbol{y}^{(k)}=\gamma^{(k)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(k)}+\beta^{(k)}
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## The Transformation

## The Linear transformation

$$
\boldsymbol{y}^{(k)}=\gamma^{(k)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(k)}+\beta^{(k)}
$$

The parameters $\gamma^{(k)}, \beta^{(k)}$

- This allow to recover the identity by setting $\gamma^{(k)}=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}\right]}$ and $\beta^{(k)}=E\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}\right]$ if necessary.
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(7) for $k=1 \ldots K$ do
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Input: Network $N$ with trainable parameters $\Theta$; subset of activations $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}\right\}_{k=1}^{K}$ Output: Batch-normalized network for inference $N_{B N}^{i n f}$
(1) $N_{B N}^{t r}=N / /$ Training BN network
(2) for $k=1 \ldots K$ do
(3) Add transformation $y^{(k)}=B N_{\gamma^{(k)}, \beta^{(k)}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}\right)$ to $N_{B N}^{t r}$
(4) Modify each layer in $N_{B N}^{t r}$ with input $\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$ to take $\boldsymbol{y}^{(k)}$ instead
(5) Train $N_{B N}^{t r}$ to optimize the parameters $\Theta \cup\left\{\gamma^{(k)}, \beta^{(k)}\right\}_{k=1}^{K}$
(6) $N_{B N}^{i n f}=N_{B N}^{t r} / /$ Inference BN network with frozen parameters
(7) for $k=1 \ldots K$ do

Process multiple training mini-batches $\mathcal{B}$, each of size $m$, and average over them
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## Training Batch Normalization Networks

Input: Network $N$ with trainable parameters $\Theta$; subset of activations $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}\right\}_{k=1}^{K}$ Output: Batch-normalized network for inference $N_{B N}^{i n f}$
(1) $N_{B N}^{t r}=N / /$ Training BN network
(2) for $k=1 \ldots K$ do
(3) Add transformation $y^{(k)}=B N_{\gamma^{(k)}, \beta^{(k)}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}\right)$ to $N_{B N}^{t r}$
(4) Modify each layer in $N_{B N}^{t r}$ with input $\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$ to take $\boldsymbol{y}^{(k)}$ instead
(5) Train $N_{B N}^{t r}$ to optimize the parameters $\Theta \cup\left\{\gamma^{(k)}, \beta^{(k)}\right\}_{k=1}^{K}$
(6) $N_{B N}^{i n f}=N_{B N}^{t r} / /$ Inference BN network with frozen parameters
(7) for $k=1 \ldots K$ do

Process multiple training mini-batches $\mathcal{B}$, each of size $m$, and average over them
(9) $E[x]=E_{\mathcal{B}}\left[\mu_{\mathcal{B}}\right]$ and $\operatorname{Var}[\boldsymbol{x}]=\frac{m}{m-1}{ }_{\mathcal{B}}\left[\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}^{2}\right]$
(10) $\ln N_{B N}^{i n f}$, replace the transform $y=B N_{\gamma, \beta}(x)$ with
(11)

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[\boldsymbol{x}]+\epsilon}} \times \boldsymbol{x}+\left[\beta-\frac{\gamma E[\boldsymbol{x}]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[\boldsymbol{x}]+\epsilon}}\right]
$$
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## Santurkar et al. recognize that

- Batch normalization has been arguably one of the most successful architectural innovations in deep learning.


## They used a standard Very deep convolutional network

- on CIFAR-10 with and without BatchNorm


## They found something quite interesting

## The following facts




## Actually Batch Normalization

## It does not do anything to the Internal Covariate Shift

- Actually smooth the optimization manifold
- It is not the only way to achieve it!!!


## Actually Batch Normalization

## It does not do anything to the Internal Covariate Shift

- Actually smooth the optimization manifold
- It is not the only way to achieve it!!!

They suggest that

- "This suggests that the positive impact of BatchNorm on training might be somewhat serendipitous."


## They actually have a connected result

To the analysis of gradient clipping!!!

- They are the same group


## They actually have a connected result

To the analysis of gradient clipping!!!

- They are the same group

Theorem (The effect of BatchNorm on the Lipschitzness of the loss)

- For a BatchNorm network with loss $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ and an identical non-BN network with (identical) loss $\mathcal{L}$,

$$
\left\|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{y}_{j}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}}\left[\left\|\nabla_{y_{j}} \mathcal{L}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{m}\left\langle\mathbf{1}, \nabla_{y_{j}} \mathcal{L}\right\rangle^{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\left\langle\nabla_{y_{j}} \mathcal{L}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{j}\right\rangle^{2}\right]
$$
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## Fully Connected Layer

## If a layer $l$ is a fully connected layer

- If layer $(l-1)$ is a fully connected layer, use the equation to compute the output of $i^{\text {th }}$ unit at layer $l$ :

$$
z_{i}^{(l)}=\sum_{k=0}^{m^{(l)}} w_{i, k}^{(l)} y_{k}^{(l)} \text { thus } y_{i}^{(l)}=f\left(z_{i}^{(l)}\right)
$$

## Fully Connected Layer

## If a layer $l$ is a fully connected layer

- If layer $(l-1)$ is a fully connected layer, use the equation to compute the output of $i^{\text {th }}$ unit at layer $l$ :

$$
z_{i}^{(l)}=\sum_{k=0}^{m^{(l)}} w_{i, k}^{(l)} y_{k}^{(l)} \text { thus } y_{i}^{(l)}=f\left(z_{i}^{(l)}\right)
$$

## Otherwise

- Layer $l$ expects $m_{1}^{(l-1)}$ feature maps of size $m_{2}^{(l-1)} \times m_{3}^{(l-1)}$ as input.

Thus, the $i^{\text {th }}$ unit in layer $l$ computes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i}^{(l)}=f\left(z_{i}^{(l)}\right) \\
& z_{i}^{(l)}=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}^{(l-1)}} \sum_{r=1}^{m_{2}^{(l-1)}} \sum_{s=1}^{m_{3}^{(l-1)}} w_{i, j, r, s}^{(l)}\left(Y_{j}^{(l-1)}\right)_{r, s}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Here

Where $w_{i, j, r, s}^{(l)}$

- It denotes the weight connecting the unit at position $(r, s)$ in the $j^{t h}$ feature map of layer $(l-1)$ and the $i^{\text {th }}$ unit in layer $l$.


## Here

## Where $w_{i, j, r, s}^{(l)}$

- It denotes the weight connecting the unit at position $(r, s)$ in the $j^{\text {th }}$ feature map of layer $(l-1)$ and the $i^{\text {th }}$ unit in layer $l$.


## Something Notable

- In practice, Convolutional Layers are used to learn a feature hierarchy and one or more fully connected layers are used for classification purposes based on the computed features.


## Basically

## We can use a loss function at the output of such layer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(\boldsymbol{W})=\sum_{n=1}^{N} E_{n}(\boldsymbol{W})=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(y_{n k}^{(l)}-t_{n k}\right)^{2} \text { (Sum of Squared Error) } \\
& L(\boldsymbol{W})=\sum_{n=1}^{N} E_{n}(\boldsymbol{W})=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{n k} \log \left(y_{n k}^{(l)}\right) \text { (Cross-Entropy Error) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Basically

## We can use a loss function at the output of such layer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(\boldsymbol{W})=\sum_{n=1}^{N} E_{n}(\boldsymbol{W})=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(y_{n k}^{(l)}-t_{n k}\right)^{2} \text { (Sum of Squared Error) } \\
& L(\boldsymbol{W})=\sum_{n=1}^{N} E_{n}(\boldsymbol{W})=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{n k} \log \left(y_{n k}^{(l)}\right) \text { (Cross-Entropy Error) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming $W$ the tensor used to represent all the possible weights

- We can use the Backpropagation idea as long we can apply the corresponding derivatives.


## About this

## As part of the seminar

- We are preparing a series of slides about Loss Functions...
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## We have the following Architecture

## Simplified Architecture by Jean LeCun "Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition"



## Therefore, we have

## Layer $l=1$

- This Layer is using a ReLu $f$ with 3 channels

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(Y_{1}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}=\left(B_{1}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}+\sum_{k=-h_{1}^{(l)}}^{h_{1}^{(l)}} \sum_{t=-h_{2}^{(l)}}^{h_{2}^{(l)}}\left(K_{11}^{(l)}\right)_{k, t}\left(Y_{1}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x-k, x-t} \\
& \left(Y_{2}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}=\left(B_{2}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}+\sum_{k=-h_{1}^{(l)}}^{h_{1}^{(l)}} \sum_{t=-h_{2}^{(l)}}^{h_{2}^{(l)}}\left(K_{21}^{(l)}\right)_{k, t}\left(Y_{1}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x-k, x-t} \\
& \left(Y_{3}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}=\left(B_{3}^{(l)}\right)_{x, y}+\sum_{k=-h_{1}^{(l)}}^{\sum_{t=-h_{2}^{(l)}}^{h_{2}^{(l)}}\left(K_{31}^{(l)}\right)_{k, t}\left(Y_{1}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x-k, x-t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Layer $l=2$

## We have a maxpooling of size $2 \times 2$

$$
\left(Y_{i}^{(l)}\right)_{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}}=\max \left\{\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x, y},\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x+1, y}\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x, y+1},\left(Y_{i}^{(l-1)}\right)_{x+1, y+1}\right\}
$$

## Then, you repeat the previous process

## Thus we obtain a reduced convoluted version $Y_{m}^{(3)}$ of the $Y_{n}^{(4)}$ <br> convolution and maxpooling

- Thus, we use those as inputs for the fully connected layer of input.


## The fully connected layer

## Now assuming a single $k=1$ neuron

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{1}^{(6)}=f\left(z_{1}^{(5)}\right) \\
z_{1}^{(5)}=\sum_{k=1}^{9} \sum_{r=1}^{m_{2}^{(6)}} \sum_{s=1}^{m_{3}^{(6)}} w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}\left(Y_{k}^{(4)}\right)_{r, s}
\end{gathered}
$$

## We have for simplicity sake

That our final cost function is equal to

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right)^{2}
$$
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## After collecting all input/output

## Therefore

- We have using sum of squared errors (loss function):

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right)^{2}
$$

## After collecting all input/output

## Therefore

- We have using sum of squared errors (loss function):

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right)^{2}
$$

Therefore, we can obtain

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\partial\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right)^{2}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}
$$

## Therefore

We get in the first part of the equation

$$
\frac{\partial\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right)^{2}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}=\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right) \frac{\partial y_{1}^{(6)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}
$$

## Therefore

We get in the first part of the equation

$$
\frac{\partial\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right)^{2}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}=\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right) \frac{\partial y_{1}^{(6)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}
$$

## With

$$
y_{1}^{(6)}=\operatorname{Re} L u\left(z_{1}^{(5)}\right)
$$

## Therefore

## We have

$$
\frac{\partial y_{1}^{(6)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}=\frac{\partial f\left(z_{1}^{(5)}\right)}{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}} \times \frac{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}
$$

## Therefore

## We have

$$
\frac{\partial y_{1}^{(6)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}=\frac{\partial f\left(z_{1}^{(5)}\right)}{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}} \times \frac{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}
$$

Therefore if we use the approximation

$$
\frac{\partial f\left(z_{1}^{(5)}\right)}{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}}=\frac{e^{k z_{1}^{(5)}}}{\left(1+e^{k z_{1}^{(5)}}\right)}
$$

Now, we need to derive $\frac{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{5}}$

## We know that

$$
z_{1}^{(5)}=\sum_{k=1}^{9} \sum_{r=1}^{m_{2}^{(6)}} \sum_{s=1}^{m_{3}^{(6)}} w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}\left(Y_{k}^{(4)}\right)_{r, s}
$$

Now, we need to derive $\frac{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{5}}$

## We know that

$$
z_{1}^{(5)}=\sum_{k=1}^{9} \sum_{r=1}^{m_{2}^{(6)}} \sum_{s=1}^{m_{3}^{(6)}} w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}\left(Y_{k}^{(4)}\right)_{r, s}
$$

Now, we need to derive $\frac{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}$

## We know that

$$
z_{1}^{(5)}=\sum_{k=1}^{9} \sum_{r=1}^{m_{2}^{(6)}} \sum_{s=1}^{m_{3}^{(6)}} w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}\left(Y_{k}^{(4)}\right)_{r, s}
$$

## Finally

$$
\frac{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}}{\partial w_{r, s, k}^{(5)}}=\left(Y_{k}^{(4)}\right)_{r, s}
$$

## Maxpooling

This is not derived after all, but we go directly go for the max term

- Assume you get the max element for $f=1,2, \ldots, 9$ and $j=1$

$$
\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}=\left(B_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}+\sum_{k=-h_{1}^{(l)}}^{h_{1}^{(l)}} \sum_{t=-h_{2}^{(l)}}^{h_{2}^{(l)}}\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}\left(Y_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{x-k, x-t}
$$

Therefore

We have then

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\partial\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right)^{2}}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}
$$

Therefore

## We have then

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\partial\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right)^{2}}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}
$$

We have the following chain of derivations given

$$
\left(Y_{f}^{(4)}\right)_{x, y}=f\left[\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}\right]
$$

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}=\left(y_{1}^{(6)}-t_{1}^{(6)}\right) \frac{\partial f\left(z_{1}^{(5)}\right)}{\partial z_{1}^{(5)}} \times \frac{\partial z_{i}^{(5)}}{\partial\left(Y_{f}^{(4)}\right)_{x, y}} \times \frac{\partial f\left[\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}\right]}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}
$$

## Therefore

## We have

$$
\frac{\partial z_{i}^{(5)}}{\partial\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}}=w_{x, y, f}^{(5)}
$$

## Therefore

## We have

$$
\frac{\partial z_{i}^{(5)}}{\partial\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}}=w_{x, y, f}^{(5)}
$$

## Then assuming that

$$
\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}=\left(B_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}+\sum_{k=-h_{1}^{(l)}}^{h_{1}^{(l)}} \sum_{t=-h_{2}^{(l)}}^{h_{2}^{(l)}}\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}\left(Y_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{x-k, x-t}
$$

Therefore

We have

$$
\frac{\partial f\left[\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}\right]}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}=\frac{\partial f\left[\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}\right]}{\partial\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}} \times \frac{\partial\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}
$$

## Therefore

We have

$$
\frac{\partial f\left[\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}\right]}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}=\frac{\partial f\left[\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}\right]}{\partial\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}} \times \frac{\partial\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\partial f\left[\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}\right]}{\partial\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}}=f^{\prime}\left[\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}\right]
$$

## Finally, we have

The equation

$$
\frac{\partial\left(Y_{f}^{(3)}\right)_{x, y}}{\partial\left(K_{f 1}^{(3)}\right)_{k, t}}=\left(Y_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{x-k, x-t}
$$

## The Other Equations

I will leave you to devise them

- They are a repetitive procedure.


## The Other Equations

I will leave you to devise them

- They are a repetitive procedure.

The interesting case the average pooling

- The others are the stride and the deconvolution
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